

Estimation of the probability p after having observed s successes in n trials

The idea

The results for the [Binomial](#) and [Negative Binomial](#) distributions are both modeling *randomness*: that is to say that they are returning probability distributions of possible future outcomes. At times, however, we are looking back at the results of a binomial process and wish to determine one of the parameters. For example, we may have observed n trials of which s were successes and from that information would like to estimate p . This binomial probability is a fundamental property of the stochastic system and can never be observed, but we can become progressively more certain about its true value by collecting data.

modeling the uncertainty about p

Bayesian statistics

If we have observed s successes in n random trials, a [Bayesian analysis](#) gives the conveniently simple result:

$$p = \text{Beta}(s+a, n-s+b, 1)$$

where a $\text{Beta}(a,b,1)$ prior is assumed.

The $\text{Beta}(1,1,1)$ is a $\text{Uniform}(0,1)$ distribution - often considered appropriate as an [uninformed prior](#), in which case we have:

$$p = \text{Beta}(s+1, n-s+1, 1)$$

The Beta distribution can be used this way because it is a [conjugate distribution](#) to the binomial likelihood function.

Classical statistics

Three methods for the estimation of p are discussed [here](#).

Comparison between estimation methods

A comparison of the classical and Bayesian methods of estimating p is provided [here](#).
